



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/427,388	10/26/1999	KEVIN LLOYD GRIMES	RCA-89.086	3105
7	590 07/17/2003			
JOSEPH S TI	EXAMINEN			
PATENT OPERATIONS THOMSON MULTIMEDIA LICENSING INC			HARPER, KEVIN C	
P O BOX 5312 PRINCETON, NJ 085435312		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ranceron,	10 005455512		2666	,
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/427,388	GRIMES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin C. Harper	2666 🚫)	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	ONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' e, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. I HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	May 2003 .		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal mat Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ters, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application		•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>3-10</u> is/are objected to.			
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		ne Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	•		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		,	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in A	oplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 			
Attachment(s)	_		
I) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Notice of Ir	nummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/427,388

Art Unit: 2666

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-12 are objected to because in claims 1 and 3, the adaptive transport protocol decoder comprises sources of packet streams; whereas in Figure 1, a system having an adaptive transport protocol decoder also comprises sources of packet streams (page 3, lines 16-23).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cuccia (US 6,157,673).

2. Regarding claims 1 and 11, Cuccia discloses an adaptive transport protocol decoder (Figure 2, item 200) that receives several streams of packets (Figure 2, TSn; Figure 3) where each stream has a different transport protocol (col. 2, lines 59-63). A protocol decoder (items 202-203) decodes information from a selected packet stream by inherently extracting payloads

Application/Control Number: 09/427,388

Art Unit: 2666

from the packet stream using a payload processor (note: standardized MPEG-2 packets carry video data within the payloads of the packets).

- 3. Regarding claim 2, the adaptive transport protocol decoder also comprises a selector (item 204) that has input terminals coupled to packet stream sources (item TSn) and an output terminal coupled to the protocol decoder (note: DESIRED TRANSPORT STREAM). The selector is responsive to a select signal (item 204a) to select a packet stream to decode (col. 4, lines 25-34).
- 4. Regarding claim 12, each packet contains a header (Figure 3). The protocol decoder (items 202-203) stores information from a header of a received packet (col. 3, lines 21-23; col. 4, lines 43-51 and lines 56-59) and accesses the register to decode packets (col. 4, line 64 through col. 5, line 2).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-10 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the claim objections set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Holzmann (US 5,826,017) and Mansouri et al. (US 2003/0026280) disclose multiple protocol instructions for use in a receiver (Figure 3) or transmitter (paras. 4 and 12), respectively. Park et al. (US 2001/0056576) discloses several decryption modules for use in a receiver (paras. 5-7, 19-20 and 23).

Application/Control Number: 09/427,388

Art Unit: 2666

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 703-305-0139. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays, except Wednesday, from 9:30 AM to 8:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema S. Rao, can be reached at 703-308-5463. The fax number for Technology Center (TC) 2600 is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Customer Service Office for TC 2600 at 703-306-0377.

Kevin C. Harper

July 14, 2003